| | TPM CIRCLE NO :-02 | PM CIRCLE NO :-02 | | ACTIVITY KK QM PM JH SHE OT DM E&T | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|--------------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|--|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|-----------|----------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| ADVIK TPM CIRCLE NO :-02 TPM CIRCLE NAME :-Innovati DEPT :-QA | | | | | | | | | | | СПЕЕТ | | | | | | |
| | | RESULT AREA P Q DEF - A C | | | | | | | D | | | | | | | | |
| CELL : | CELL NAME:- BCOF | | MACHINE / ST | AGE:- | Millin | g m/c | | | | | OPE | RATION: | Milling o | peratio | n. | | |
| KAIZEN THEME :- To Increase the life of the proximity sensor and save the cost of | | IDEA :- Strong Design. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| sensor Replacement | | COUNTERMEASURE :- Stoppage of direct contact of | | | | | | | | ARGE | | | 03 No: Zero | S | | | |
| WIDELY/DEEPLY:- | | human, chips and dust by providing covering of agralic | | | | | | | | KAIZEN START | | | | 02.9.16 | | | |
| | | sheet . | | | | | | | | | KAIZEN FINISH 12 | | | | | | |
| PROBLEM / PRESENT STATUS:-Possibility of proximity sensor damage due to Human interaction during cleaning , dust & chips. | | | | | | | | | | | TEAM MEMBERS :- | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Rajesh Kumar, Sandeep Parihar | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | BENIFITS :- | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1) Sensor life increase. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 2) Reduced the cost . | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 3 | B) Ope | erator | moral ii | ncrease. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | KA | AIZEN SU | ISTENAN | CE | | | |
| BEFORE | | | AFTER | | | | | | | | WHAT TO DO :- Provide Agralic sheet covering | | | | | | |
| WHY - WHY ANALYSIS :- | | RESULT :- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WHY 1:- Cost increase. | | 1. No damage of proximity sensor | | | | | | | | HOW TO DO :-Check points add in | | | | | | | |
| WHY 2:- Replacement of proximity sensor before its life finishes. | | 2. Life increase 3. Cost saving | | | | | | | | JH check sheet | | | | | | | |
| WHY 3:- Damage of proximity sensor due to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| human error, dust and chips. | | | | | | | | | | FREQUENCY :- Daily | | | | | | | |
| WHY 4:- No covering is provided around the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| proximity sensor. | | | | | | | | | COST INCURRED FOR MAKING KAIZEN | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | - | MATERI | AL COST | LABO | JR COST | TOTAL | L COST | | |
| ROOT CAUSE :- No covering for proximity sensor | | | 1 | | | | | | | RS. RS. | | | | R | RS. | | |
| is provided | | | | | | | | 3500 1200 4 | | | | 47 | 700 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | SCOPE & PLAN FOR HORIZONTAL DEPLOYMENT | | | | | | | | |
| REGISTRATION NO&DATE | | | | | | | | SF | - C | ELL | TARGET | RESPONSI | BILITY | STATUS | | | |
| REGISTERED BY :- | | | | | | | | 2 | ΔΛ | 22 | 15.07.14 | Mnt/Prod. | | In process | | | |
| MANAGER'S SIGN :- | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | In process | | |